Mueller matrix polarimetry for differentiating characteristic features of different materials (wood, shining steel, unpolished plastic)
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Abstract

Polarization measurements improve the imaging contrast by using various samples (wood, shining steel, unpolished plastic). For better explanations of the micro- and macro-structures and basic characteristics of the materials, we perform Mueller matrix imaging on various samples, expressed by the characteristic features of the 3×3 Mueller matrix elements. This research gives new ideas for various samples on the contrast mechanisms of Mueller matrix components, which can provide new diagnostic techniques for biomedical applications.

1 Introduction

Mueller matrix polarimetric imaging is a very potent approach that is extensively used nowadays in many different areas like biomedical applications \cite{1}, materials characterization \cite{2}, remote sensing \cite{3, 4} etc.. Mueller matrix imaging technique has also tested several clinical applications \cite{5, 6, 7}. While it is commonly mentioned, how the polarization states are converted from the incident into the reflected light, a Mueller matrix shows some details information of reflected light and structural properties of the materials. To address this issue, 3×3 Mueller matrix elements have been calculated, which derive a set of polarization parameters with specific physical meanings, such as Linear Extinction (LE), Depolarization (D), Circular Retardance (CR), and Transmission (T) \cite{8, 9, 10}. Mueller matrix polarimetry is deemed very useful to characterize different natural and man-made materials. In our work, we study different flat samples: wood Horizontal (H), wood Vertical (V), shining steel Horizontal (H), shining steel Vertical (V) and unpolished plastic. For this, we need a realistic experimental polarization imaging approach for material identification and comparison between all samples. The ultimate goal of this study is to express the optical behavior of veins, arteries, and nerves for the biomedical diagnosis. For the sake of simplicity, we investigated flat objects in order to understand thoroughly the light scattering effects for its material characteristics. We are assuming that the characteristic features of wood (H-V) and shining steel (H-V) may be associated to nerves and arteries respectively and characteristic features of unpolished plastic may be associated to veins.

2 Experimental Setup

We study different samples, wood (H-V oriented), shining steel (H-V oriented) and unpolished plastic flat samples have been illuminated by linearly polarized light shown in Fig. 1. The wavelength of illumination is 450 nm from a LED, which is collimated by a lens \(L_1\) and then propagates through a linear polarizer \(P_1\). The samples were illuminated orthogonally, observed at different large angles in order to see the behavior of light according to the respective angle. Backscattered light in terms of photons from the sample passes through the lens \(L_2\) and second polarizer \(P_2\). Finally, images were captured by a camera, which is connected to the computer. The images were mathematically inspected, to determine the properties of the light diffused towards the observer. In our experimental setup, both polarizers \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) can rotate around their optical axis to vary polarization angles for illumination \(\theta_i\) and detection \(\theta_s\) showed in Fig. 1.

We captured a series of images \(I(\theta_i, \theta_s)\), for incident po-
captured one image without using both polarizers.

In the first polarizer, we took four images using the polarized light set of angles corresponding to each incident polarization. In this way, we recorded sixteen images for each sample with respect to different angles of observation. Meanwhile, first polarizer has been removed from our experimental setting, we took four images by rotating the second polarizer to different angles of observation. By using these images, we can calculate 3 × 3 Mueller matrix elements. Images represent the input to output intensity of light.

3 Mueller matrix polarimetry

Polarimetry is the measurement technique, that can be applied for interpretation of the polarization of light. In general, when the light interacts with optical elements that include polarizers, filters, lenses surfaces, scattering media etc, it can change the state of its polarization. This interaction with any optical element or material can be defined as a multiplication of the Stokes vector with a 4 × 4 matrix, S′ = MS. The stokes matrix is modified in terms of Mueller matrix as [1]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{out} \\
Q_{out} \\
U_{out} \\
V_{out}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\
m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} \\
m_{41} & m_{42} & m_{43} & m_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{inp} \\
Q_{inp} \\
U_{inp} \\
V_{inp}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(1)

3.1 Physical interpretation of Mueller matrix

Mueller matrix elements can be determined experimentally with different orientations of polarizers illustrated in Fig. 4. The element \(m_{11}\) gives the information of the unpolarized light input to output intensity, so this factor can be interpreted as a simple transmission. \(m_{12}\) is obtained by measuring the total reflected intensity for horizontal input polarization and subtracting the total reflected intensity for vertical input polarization from it. \(m_{12}\) can be expressed as the Linear Extinction (LE) at 0°/90°. Similarly, \(m_{21}\) refers to degree of linear polarization of the scattered light; \(m_{22}\) refers the depolarization of input polarization. \(m_{23}\) and \(m_{32}\) express the Circular Retardance (CR) with opposite sign.

4 Discussion and Conclusions

In our experiment, we have been calculated the 3 × 3 Mueller matrix, for the characterization of selected samples: wood (H-V), shining steel (H-V), unpolished plastic, at observation angle \(\theta\), varying from 10° to 70°. We have taken an average of three repeated measurements for each sample and normalized all the obtained matrix elements.

During our numerical assessment and elaborations, we have observed the following features of each sample as illustrated in Fig. 5. First, we can see that the Mueller matrices for all samples are non-diagonal. Further, the magnitude of the diagonal elements \(m_{22}\) and \(m_{33}\) is not equal. For the case of shining steel sample are distributed along both orientations horizontal (solid blue line) and vertical (solid red line), leading to a larger value of the diagonal elements \(m_{22}\) and \(m_{33}\) as compared to the other samples wood-V (dot red line), wood-H (dot blue line), and unpolished plastic (solid...
green line). For example, the unpolished plastic has smaller diagonal elements $m_{22}$ and $m_{33}$ approaches to zero as compare to all other samples shining steel (V-H), and wood (V-H). Wood-V has higher value of diagonal elements $m_{22}$ and $m_{33}$ as compared to wood-H shown in (Figs. 5, d, h). The abundance of cellulose in wood is the cause of notable absorption, and results in larger values of diagonal elements of wood as compared to the unpolished plastic. The element $m_{31}$ is zero for all samples (see Fig. 5, f), but the element $m_{13}$ displays minimum values rather than zero (see Fig. 5, b). $m_{33}$ and $m_{32}$ show wood-V has superior peaks in plots as compare to shining steel-V. Similarly, the numerical values of wood-H are leading to shining steel-H and unpolished plastic. So, its graphical representation is fluctuated in entire range of observation angle (see Fig. 5, e, g). $m_{12}$ represents the wood (V-H) samples have higher magnitude rather then shining steel(V-H) and unpolished plastic (see Fig. 5, a).

It can be notices that, $m_{22}$ and $m_{33}$ are more sensitive elements for the identification of the shining steel (V-H), wood (V-H), and unpolished plastic samples. This information confesses us to conclude that the change in polarization state of light after interaction with wood and shining steel plays significant role, which can also contribute in its detection. Shinning steel-V and wood-V have more significant numerical results rather than wood-H shining steel-H, and unpolished plastic.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we apply polarization imaging technique on shining steel-H, shining steel-V, wood-H, wood-V, unpolished plastic to demonstrates the different microscopic aspects of the samples. Using $3 \times 3$ Mueller matrix, we have been discussed the polarization parameters such as: Linear Extinction (LE), Depolarization (D), Circular Retardance (CR), and Transmission (T). These parameters are used to identify the characteristic features of the selected samples.
The experimental and simulation results confirm that the Mueller matrix elements $m_{22}$ and $m_{33}$ are more responsive for the entire range of observation angles in all samples. The main objective of the study is to understand the different behaviour of isotropic and anisotropic materials. So, we consider wood and shining steel as anisotropic samples, and unpolished plastic is isotropic sample. We can say, that wood and shining steel shows more sensitiveness towards the polarization state of light. unpolished plastic is isotropic in general as it has no texture, so it is independent of orientation. We notices that, it does not depict active response towards any polarization state. It can be stated as the Mueller matrices elements over the entire observation angle reveal the characteristics of materials using light scattering phenomena. In future, we propose to extend these derived formations for biological samples.
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