Antenna Position Estimation Through Subsampled Exponential Analysis of Signals in the Near Field


Abstract – In a previous article we explored the use of a subsampled exponential analysis algorithm to find the antenna-element positions in a large irregular planar array after the installation phase. The application requires an unmanned aerial vehicle to be flown over the antenna array while transmitting several odd harmonic signals. The received signal samples at a chosen reference antenna element are then compared to those at every other element in the array in order to find its position. Previously, the far-field approximation was used to calculate the time delay between received signals. In this article the method is reconsidered for the more realistic case of when the source is in the near field of the array. A number of problems that arise are addressed, and results from a controlled simulation are presented to illustrate that the computational method works.

1. Introduction

Ensuring accurate placement of the antenna elements in large-N radio interferometers like the Low Frequency Array (LOFAR) [1] and the Square Kilometre Array [2] is a costly and time-consuming process. Methods for finding the positions of individual antenna elements within an irregular array after the installation phase have been proposed [3, 4] in which signals are transmitted from an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) toward the array. This saves time and money by allowing for errors from the designed positions during placement of the elements, as well as indicating which elements are connected incorrectly to the back end. The application of a subsampled exponential analysis algorithm using the far-field approximation was presented in [4]. Here the method is extended for when the UAV is in the near field of the array.

2. Problem Formulation

Figure 1 illustrates narrowband odd harmonic signals $S_i(t_p)$ transmitted from the UAV when it is located at position $r_p$ at time $t_p$. The index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ distinguishes between frequencies $\omega_i = (2i + 1)\omega_0$, where $\omega_0$ is the baseband frequency. At time $t_p$, the signals are expressed as

$$S_i(t_p) = s_i(t_p) \exp(j\omega_i t_p)$$

(1)

where $s_i(t_p)$ is assumed to remain constant during the measurement of $S_i(t_p)$. As in [3, 4], we assume the signals are strong enough that astronomical sources in the field of view of the array can be ignored. With the UAV in the radiating near field of the antenna, a curved phase front is incident on the array.

A reference antenna element $a_1 = (0, 0, 0)$ is chosen to coincide with the origin. All elements are assumed to be located in the $(x, y)$-plane, so their $z$-coordinates are zero. In the near field, the time delay of incidence on the $m$th antenna element at position $a_m = u_m x + v_m y + (0)z$ relative to $a_1$ at time $t_p$ is

![Figure 1. The UAV transmits signals $S_i(t_p)$ at time $t_p$ while in the near field of the planar array.](image-url)
\[ \tau_m(x_p, y_p, z_p) = \frac{\|r_p\| - \|r_p - a_m\|}{c} = \frac{r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + u_m^2 + v_m^2 - 2(u_m x_p + v_m y_p)}}{c} \]

where \( r_p = x_p x + y_p y + z_p z \) is the vector from the origin to the UAV’s position, \( r_p = \|r_p\| \), \( a_m \) is the vector from the \( m \)th antenna element to the UAV, and \( c \) is the propagation velocity of the signal, or the speed of light in free space. From the narrowband assumption, the samples at the \( m \)th antenna element at time \( t_p \), for frequency \( i \) are

\[ f_m(t_p) = S_i(t_p + \tau_m(x_p, y_p, z_p)) = s_i(t_p) \exp(j\omega_1 t_p) \exp(j\omega_1 \tau_m(x_p, y_p, z_p)) \]

(3)

To extract the positions \( (u_m, v_m, 0) \), we need multiple samples at time \( t_p \), and this from several positions \( r_p \), with \( p = 1, \ldots, P \) [5]. We use the following shorthand notations for a fixed UAV position \( r_p \):

\[ f_{m, ip} = f_m(t_p), \quad \alpha_{ip} = s_i(t_p) \exp(j\omega_1 t_p), \quad \Delta_{mp} = u_m^2 + v_m^2 - 2(u_m x_p + v_m y_p), \]

(4)

\[ \tau_{mp} = \tau_m(x_p, y_p, z_p) = \frac{1}{c} \left( r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}} \right), \quad \Psi_{mp} = j\omega_1 \tau_{mp} \]

The samples at each element \( m \) are filtered into subbands, so for position \( p \),

\[ f_{m, ip} = \alpha_{ip} \exp((2i + 1)\Psi_{mp}) \]

(5)

The frequency and positional dependence of the coefficients \( \alpha_{ip} \) are undesirable. Therefore, we first divide the sample sets \( f_{m, ip} \) by the reference antenna element’s samples \( f_{1, ip} = s_1(t_p) \exp(j\omega_1 t_p) \exp(0) = \alpha_{ip} \), which gives

\[ f_{m, ip} = f_{m, ip} / f_{1, ip} = \exp((2i + 1)\Psi_{mp}) \]

(6)

\[ \tau_m(x_p, y_p, z_p) = \frac{\|r_p\| - \|r_p - a_m\|}{c} = \frac{r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + u_m^2 + v_m^2 - 2(u_m x_p + v_m y_p)}}{c} \]

in order to recover from aliasing using this approach, we first linearize our model with a first-order Taylor-series partial sum.

### 4. Linearization of the Near-Field Model

While \( u_m \) and \( v_m \) denote the coordinates of antenna element \( a_m \) in the \((x, y)\)-plane and \((x_p, y_p, z_p)\) denotes the location of the UAV in space at time \( t_p \), we introduce the general coordinates \( u \) and \( v \) in the plane. During the linearization, we keep \( r_p = x_p x + y_p y + z_p z \) at time \( t_p \) fixed, so that the expression

\[ \begin{align*}
\mathbf{g}(u, v) &= \sqrt{\|r_p\|^2 + \Delta_p(u, v)}, \\
\Delta_p(u, v) &= u^2 + v^2 - 2(u x_p + v y_p)
\end{align*} \]

varies only with the planar position \((u, v)\). We approximate \( \mathbf{g}(u, v) \) by

\[ L_p(u, v) = g_p(u, v) + (u - \bar{u}) g_p^{(u)}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) + (v - \bar{v}) g_p^{(v)}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \]

(8)

where \( g_p^{(u)} \) and \( g_p^{(v)} \) are the partial derivatives with respect to \( u \) and \( v \),

\[ g_p^{(u)}(u, v) = \frac{x_p - u}{\sqrt{\|r_p\|^2 + \Delta_p(u, v)}}, \quad g_p^{(v)}(u, v) = \frac{y_p - v}{\sqrt{\|r_p\|^2 + \Delta_p(u, v)}} \]

(9)

Substituting these equations into (8), the linearized approximation \( L_p(u, v) \) becomes

\[ L_p(u, v) = r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} + \frac{(u - \bar{u}) (x_p - \bar{u})}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}} + \frac{(v - \bar{v}) (y_p - \bar{v})}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}} \]

(10)

Let the constant terms in (10), for a certain estimation \((\bar{u}, \bar{v})\), be denoted by

\[ \kappa_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} - \frac{\bar{u} (x_p - \bar{u}) + \bar{v} (y_p - \bar{v})}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}} \]

(11)

Then we can use the remaining function

\[ L_p(u, v) - \kappa_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \frac{u (x_p - \bar{u}) + v (y_p - \bar{v})}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}} \]

(12)

to solve the positions of the elements in the antenna array in the near-field sub-Nyquist case, where the common factor \(1/\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_p(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}\) can be used to model \( \sigma_p, f = 1, 2 \), as explained in the next section.
5. Exponential Analysis of the Linearized Near-Field Problem

Choose $2P$ radial positions $\mathbf{r}_p = x_p \mathbf{x} + y_p \mathbf{y} + z_p \mathbf{z}$ with radial distance $r_p = \|\mathbf{r}_p\|$, for $j = 1, 2$ and $p = 1, \ldots, P$. Let $\mu_m$ and $\nu_m$ be estimates of the coordinates $u_m$ and $v_m$ in the $(x, y)$-plane of antenna $a_m$, and let us denote $\Delta_{mp} = \Delta_{mp}(u_m, v_m)$ and $\kappa_{mp} = \kappa_{mp}(u_m, v_m)$. Note that $\Delta_{mp}$ is independent of the z-coordinate and therefore simply indexed by $p$, not $\mu_p$. With $p$ and $m$ fixed, the linearization

$$L_p(u_m, v_m) - \kappa_{mp} = \frac{u_m(x_p - \tilde{u}_m) + v_m(y_p - \tilde{v}_m)}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}}$$

(13)

is used to model the near-field nonlinear

$$g_p(u_m, v_m) = r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}} \approx L_p(u_m, v_m)$$

(14)

The approximation in the right-hand side of (14) becomes more accurate as the value of $(\tilde{u}_m, \tilde{v}_m)$ gets closer to the true antenna element position $(u_m, v_m)$. We additionally introduce the virtual UAV position $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_p = x_p \mathbf{x} + y_p \mathbf{y} + z_p \mathbf{z}$ with virtual height $z_p$, and $\hat{R}_p = \|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_p\|$, such that the spatial Nyquist criterion

$$2\left(\hat{R}_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}\right) < \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$$

(15)

is met for all $m$. With $\hat{R}_p$, we rewrite the value $C_{mp}$ as a scaled $C_{mp}$,

$$C_{mp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}} = \frac{C_{mp}}{C_{mp}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}}$$

(16)

and we start the iterative improvement of the estimation $(\tilde{u}_m, \tilde{v}_m)$. During the iteration, the values of $r_p$ remain constant while $\Delta_{mp}$ is updated at every iteration step. The values of $\sigma_{mp}$ and $\kappa_{mp}$ are manipulated in every iteration step to give (16), with the only restrictions being that the spatial Nyquist criterion in (15) must be met and $\sigma_{mp}, j = 1, 2$ must be coprime in order to recover from aliasing. If we set $r_{p_1} > r_{p_2}$, then $C_{mp_2} > C_{mp_1}$ for all $m$. The ratios

$$\frac{\sigma_{mp_2}}{\sigma_{mp_1}} = \frac{C_{mp_2}}{C_{mp_1}}$$

(17)

rounded to two significant digits provide coprime values for $\sigma_{mp_1}$ and $\sigma_{mp_2}$. For each antenna, we start with $\nu_m = \tilde{v}_m = 0$ so that $\Delta_{mp} = 0$ and $\kappa_{mp} = 0$. A new value of the estimated antenna position $(\tilde{u}_m, \tilde{v}_m)$ is found as follows, using our approximated model in conjunction with the subsampled exponential algorithm.

The samples at each antenna element normalized by $f_m$, according to (6) are

$$f_m' = \exp\left((2i + 1)j \frac{\Omega_0}{c} \left(r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}\right)\right)$$

(18)

Thus, a priori we compute the base terms

$$\exp\left(2j \frac{\Omega_0}{c} \left(r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}\right)\right)$$

(19)

using any Prony-like method for the samples $f_m'$. Here we prefer the Root-MUSIC algorithm [8] because of its accuracy. For every antenna element $a_m$, every position $p$, and every $j$ we use $N_t$ time samples of the form in (18), with added white Gaussian noise from systematic effects in the antenna array’s channels. Fortunately, the noise encourages clustering in the complex plane around the true solution of the base terms in (19) [6]. We use the densest point from all evaluations as our best estimate of (19), which is defined as the point inside the smallest possible radius that contains a specified minimum number of points around it.

Subsequently, in every iteration step the estimated base terms are shifted by multiplying them with $\exp(-j \frac{2\pi}{\sigma_{mp}} \kappa_{mp})$. Since $\Delta_{mp} = \Delta_{mp}$, we find that

$$g_p(u_m, v_m) = r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}} \approx r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}$$

and hence that the linearization in (13) can be used. Moreover,

$$\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}} = \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}$$

(20)

We can therefore denote the left-hand side of (20) by $\sigma_{mp} \Phi_{mp}$. The possible arguments $\Phi_{mp}$ of $\exp(\sigma_{mp} \Phi_{mp})$ are collected in two sets ($j = 1, 2$):

$$\left\{\Phi_{mp} : \frac{j2\pi}{\sigma_{mp}} l : l = 0, \ldots, \sigma_{mp} - 1\right\}$$

(21)

Since $\sigma_{mp}$ are chosen as coprime for every $m$ and $p$, the intersection of the sets (21) for $j = 1, 2$ contains the unique dealised argument which is the valid $\Phi_{mp}$ [7].

A complication arises when trying to extract the values of $(u_m, v_m)$ from $\Phi_{mp}$, which is our ultimate goal. From the expression for $\Phi_{mp}$ we find

$$\frac{j \frac{c}{2\Omega_0} \Phi_{mp} + \frac{r_p - \kappa_{mp}}{\sigma_{mp}}}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}} = \sqrt{r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}}$$

Inside the square root we have

$$r_p^2 + \Delta_{mp} = x_p^2 + y_p^2 + Z_p^2 + u_m^2 + v_m^2 - 2(u_m x_p + v_m y_p)$$

$$= (u_m - x_p)^2 + (v_m - y_p)^2 + Z_p^2$$

(22)
Equation (22) defines a circle with center \((x_p, y_p)\) and radius \(R_p^2 - Z_p^2 + \Delta_{mp}\). Thus for any two distinct positions of the UAV, the possible solutions of \((u_m, v_m)\) occur at the intersections of two circles. To find the correct solution, we add distinct UAV positions so that \(P \geq 3\) and we have \(N_c = \binom{P}{2}\) combinations of pairs of circles whose intersections are possible solutions of \((u_m, v_m)\). We use the mean of the \(N_c\) closest intersections as the solution to \((u_m, v_m)\), which then becomes the updated value of \((\bar{u}_m, \bar{v}_m)\) in the linear model in (13). The entire procedure discussed in this section is repeated until

\[
\sqrt{(u_m - \bar{u}_m)^2 + (v_m - \bar{v}_m)^2} < 0.01 \tag{23}
\]

This iterative process should converge due to the convexity of the linearized function

\[
g_p(u, v) = r_p - \sqrt{r_p^2 + u^2 + v^2 - 2(u x_p + v y_p)} = r_p - \sqrt{(x_p - u)^2 + (y_p - v)^2 + z_p^2}
\]

6. Simulation Results

In practice, this method is performed off-line using the time-series signals from each antenna element in the respective frequency bins, as described in (3). To demonstrate that the algorithm works, we present results from a controlled simulation that does not include practical considerations such as mutual coupling or the precision with which the UAV’s position can be determined. However, the simulation parameters are from actual in situ measurement campaigns that were performed on the LOFAR low-band antenna (LBA), such as in [9]. We use the outer LBA substation for our simulation, for which the positions of the antenna elements are indicated by the crosses in Figure 2. The flight path of the UAV is a 100 m \(\times\) 100 m square, with some deviations caused by wind. The black dots indicate the \(P = 16\) positions that are used.

The fifth, seventh, ninth, and 11th harmonics of the baseband frequency \(f_0 = 6.3585\) MHz are transmitted from the UAV, so \(i = [2,3,4,5]\). One hundred Monte Carlo runs were performed for signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) of 15 dB to 50 dB. The number of samples at each position is \(N_t = 80\). For each antenna, the median estimated position over all runs was taken and compared with the actual position. The root-mean-square (RMS) errors of the difference between the \(x\)- and \(y\)-positions for all the antenna elements were calculated at each noise level. The results are presented in Figure 3 in terms of the wavelength of the highest frequency harmonic \(\lambda_{11} = 4.29\) m transmitted from the UAV. Even at an SNR of 15 dB, the RMS error is less than 1% of the smallest transmitted wavelength \(\lambda_{11}\), confirming the efficacy of the computational method.

7. Conclusion

This article expands on the work in [4] by replacing the far-field approximation with the more realistic near-field model, along with other subtle improvements. In order to use the proposed subsampling algorithm, it is necessary to linearize the model and solve for the antenna positions iteratively. Simulation results that do not yet consider various practical problems indicate that the algorithm works well. In future, practical effects such as mutual coupling between antenna elements in the array will be considered before moving on to applying the algorithm to practical data from the field.
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