

# EFFECT OF A GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ON THE RESPONSE OF A DUSTY PLASMA TO A MOVING TEST CHARGE

**Muhammad Shafiq<sup>(1)</sup>, Michael A Raadu<sup>(2)</sup>**

<sup>(1)</sup>*Royal Institute of Technology, Alfvén Laboratory, Division of Plasma Physics, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden, E-mail: mshafiq@plasma.kth.se*

<sup>(2)</sup>*As (1) above, but E-mail: raadu@plasma.kth.se*

## ABSTRACT

Dust in a plasma modifies the response to a moving test charge. In general, the dust has a distribution of grain sizes. The linear kinetic behaviour is then quite different to the case with single sized grains. For a reasonable choice of the size distribution the contribution of the dust component to the dispersion relation is equivalent to that for a kappa (generalised Lorentzian) distribution of single-sized particles. Expressions for the potential of a slowly moving test charge, correct to second order in the velocity, are found.

## TEST CHARGE POTENTIAL IN DUSTY PLASMA

The linearised potential due to a test charge  $q$  moving with velocity  $\mathbf{v}_q$  in a non-magnetised plasma is (cf [1]):

$$\phi = q/4\pi\epsilon_0(2\pi^2)^{-1} \int \exp(ik \cdot x) / \{k^2 \epsilon_p(k, \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_q)\} d^3k \quad (1)$$

where  $\epsilon_p$  is the plasma dielectric response function. The dust grains are assumed to have a thermal velocity distribution with temperature  $T$ , and size distribution  $h(a)$  which has a power law for small radii,  $a$ , and for large masses,  $m(a)$ , decreases with an exponential factor [2]:

$$dn_d = f(a, v) dadv = h(a) f_T(v) dadv = Ca^\beta e^{-\alpha^3 a^3} \exp[-m(a)v^2/2kT] dadv \quad (2)$$

The dust response term is then equivalent to that of a single-sized component with a Lorentzian distribution [2] with  $\kappa = (2\beta+5)/6$  and a characteristic velocity  $v_T$  equal to the thermal velocity of a grain with radius  $a^* = \kappa^{1/3} \alpha^{-1} (a^* \rightarrow a_m)$ , the radius for maximum  $h(a)$  as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ . Known results [3] may be used to get an analytical expression for this. If the test charge velocity  $\mathbf{v}_q$  is sufficiently smaller than  $v_T$ , a power series expansion to second order gives:

$$k^2 \epsilon_p \approx k^2 + k_{eff}^2 + iA(\beta)k_d^2 w - B(\beta)k_d^2 w^2, \quad \text{where } w = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_q / v_T \text{ and } k_{eff}^2 = k_e^2 + k_i^2 + k_d^2 \quad (3)$$

The only effect of the ions and electrons is to contribute to the total effective inverse Debye length  $k_{eff}$ . Following [1] but with important corrections and generalizations, the response potential may be written as:

$$\phi(r) = (q/4\pi\epsilon_0 r) \left[ \exp(-k_{eff} r) + (v_q/v_T) A(\beta) f_1 + (v_q/v_T)^2 \{ A(\beta)^2 f_2 + B(\beta) f_3 \} \right] \quad (4)$$

where the case for a Maxwellian single-sized distribution (given by  $h(a)$  as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ ) is recovered by setting  $A = \sqrt{\pi}$  and  $B = 2$ . Introducing  $\lambda$  the angle between the velocity  $\mathbf{v}_q$  and the radial vector  $\mathbf{r}$  the strength functions  $f_j$  are:

$$f_1 = (2/\pi)(k_d r)^2 I_{21}(k_{eff} r) \cos(\lambda), \quad f_2 = -(k_d r)^2 (k_{eff} r)^2 \{ [I_{30}(k_{eff} r) + I_{32}(k_{eff} r)]/3 - I_{32}(k_{eff} r) \cos^2(\lambda) \}, \quad (5)$$

$$f_3 = (k_d r)^2 \{ [I_{20}(k_{eff} r) + I_{22}(k_{eff} r)]/3 - I_{22}(k_{eff} r) \cos^2(\lambda) \}$$

The functions  $I_{mn}(s)$  are defined as weighted integrals of spherical Bessel functions:

$$I_{mn}(s) = \int_0^\infty t^2 (t^2 + s^2)^{-m} j_n(t) dt \quad (6)$$

and are of the same type as used previously [1].  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are expressible in terms of exponential functions and powers, and for  $f_1$  the exponential integrals  $E_i(s)$  and  $E_1(s)$  are also required. The mass distribution effects are given through:

$$A(\beta) = \{ \sqrt{6\pi} / \sqrt{2\beta + 5} \} \Gamma[(2\beta + 11)/6] / \Gamma[(\beta + 4)/3] \quad \text{and} \quad B(\beta) = 4(\beta + 4)/(2\beta + 5) \quad (7)$$

The structure of the potential  $\phi(\mathbf{r})$  changes as the relative sizes of  $A$  and  $B$  vary with the index  $\beta$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Cooper, "Shielding of Slow Test Particles in a Plasma", *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 12, pp. 2707-2710, 1969.
- [2] M.A. Raadu, "Effective Distribution Functions for Electrostatic Waves in Dusty Plasmas with a Dust-Size Distribution", *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 29, pp. 182-185, 2001.
- [3] R.L. Mace and M.A. Hellberg, "A dispersion function for plasma containing superthermal particles", *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 2, pp. 2098-2109, 1995.