

TEC DURING REGIONAL IONOSPHERIC DISTURBANCES

Anna Swiatek, Iwona Stanislawska

Space Research Centre PAS, 18a Bartycka Str. , 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

ABSTRACT:

Total electron content obtained with the use of different GPS satellites is calculated. The data sets are specifying according to different ionospheric and magnetic conditions. The influence of elevation angle is discussed regarding the TEC spatial anisotropy.

INTRODUCTION

The Global Positioning System (GPS) provides useful ionospheric information about an electron concentration between the satellites and the considered receiver – total electron content (TEC). The accuracy of the TEC values obtained is the crucial point in ionospheric analysis. The uncertainties depend on the ionospheric delays and errors. Unfortunately, the number of visible satellites is different in different moment of time. Some of them are located at low elevation angles, just above the horizon. The signal from these may pass the ionosphere relatively far from the receiver site. In this case the disturbed ionospheric conditions influence the signal much more than the signal coming from other directions. Spatial variability of the ionosphere becomes important [1]. Harris [2] proposed special corrections for ionospheric delay. However for the correct TEC obtaining in particular receiver's site during wide spread ionospheric storms the signals from different GPS satellites should be analysed separately.

In this paper TEC obtained with the use of different GPS satellites is calculated. The data sets are specifying according to different ionospheric and magnetic conditions. The influence of elevation angle is discussed regarding the TEC spatial anisotropy.

DATA ANALYSIS

The rough data from Matera (40.6°N, 16.7°E), San Fernando (36.5°N, 353.8°E), Wettzell (49.1° N, 12.9°E) stations, working in International GPS Service (IGS), for the 3rd May 1999 and 11th February 1998 were used for present analysis. TEC was calculated using code observations. Computations were done with the so-called geometry-free linear combination of two frequencies L1 and L2 – L4, which contains ionospheric information only. TEC obtained from code is more smoothed than phase measurements. For phase measurements, as the exact determination of the initial phase ambiguity is needed, the number of unknown parameters is enlarged.




Fig. 1. TEC obtained for diminished the number of satellites observed by taking into account only these, which are visible above the elevation angle: 5°-35° with 5° step.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

Research sponsored by Polish Committee of Scientific Research Grant No.2 P03C 006 17.




Fig. 2. TEC values obtained from the satellites that are seen between elevation angle 60° and 5° and above 5° .

For every hour the number of visible satellites is changed dynamically. For some particular hours the number of remaining visible satellites is too small and it is not possible to calculate the reasonable value of TEC. To study the TEC dependence on the configuration of visible satellites the number of satellites observed is diminished by taking into account only these, which are visible for the elevation angle: 5° - 35° with 5° step (see Figure 1). Additionally, for particular moment the satellites that are seen above 60° are cut off, also and compared to situation when only these that are located above 5° elevation angle are considered (see Figure 2). The differences of TEC obtained for all conditions are negligible.




Fig. 3. European area showing locations of GPS receiver (red squares) and ionospheric VI stations (black squares).

The ionospheric conditions were analysed according to the disturbances observed at VI stations from European area (see Figure 3).

The analysed disturbances were obtained from the catalogue of disturbances from the Ionospheric Despatch Centre in Europe [3] defined according to the algorithm by Kouris [4].

Table 1. The disturbances considered at European VI stations at 3rd May 1999.

Station	Start(UT)	Maximum(UT)	Disturbance	End(UT)
Sofia	2	6	-50%	9
Rome	2	3	-46%	5
Moscow	18	2	-45%	11
Rostov	2	3	-44%	5
Juliusruh	21	2	-52%	4

Table 2. The groups of disturbances considered at European VI stations at 11th February 1998.

Station	Start(UT)	Maximum(UT)	Disturbance	End(UT)
morning				
Poitiers	2	5	+35%	6
Sofia	1	2	+27%	3
Rome	0	5	+56%	6
Lyksele	3	3	+36%	5
Tortosa	4	6	+89%	7
evening				
Sofia	18	18	-24%	22
Warsaw	17	17	-36%	19
Moscow	16	16	-25%	18
Uppsala	16	17	-41%	18
Juliusruh	17	19	-25%	20

For the analysis all European VI stations were considered, but the disturbance appeared only at the stations listed in Tables 1 and 2. To provide TEC values without ionospheric storm dependence the data sets are specifying according to different ionospheric conditions, by cutting off the observed satellites from east or west side of GPS station.




Fig. 4. TEC values obtained from the satellites observed east and west side of Matera station.




Fig. 5. TEC values obtained from satellites observed east and west side of San Fernando the station.

The strong negative disturbance observed (for 3rd May 1999) in lower ionosphere at five ionospheric stations located east from Matera (see Figure 3 and Table 1) is remarkably marked, while at San Fernando located west from Matera this disturbance is not recognizable (see Figure 4 and 5).




Fig. 6. TEC values obtained from the satellites observed east and west side of Wetzell station.

The strong positive disturbance observed (for 11th February 1998) in lower ionosphere at five VI stations located west from Wetzell station in the morning, and negative disturbance at five VI stations located east from Wetzell in the evening (see Figure3 and Table2) are remarkably marked (see Figure 6).

CONCLUSIONS

All presented figures confirm that the application of frequency combination L4 for TEC obtaining with the use of code observations show remarkable spatial TEC anisotropy during ionospheric storms. Preliminary results show some evidence for this fact. However, more studies are needed. Only the careful analysis in satellites choosing for TEC computations allows making independent the results from the localization of the ionospheric disturbance, as well as from the elevation angle of considered satellites.

REFERENCES

- [1] Klobuchar, J. A., P. H. Doherty, and M. B. El-Arini, Potential ionospheric limitations to GPS wide-area augmentation system (WAAS), *Navigation*, **42**, pp. 353-370, 1995.
- [2] Harris, I. L., J. A. Mannucci, B. A. Iijima, U. J. Lindqwister, D. Muna, X. Pi, and B. D. Wilson, Ionospheric specification algorithms for precise GPS-based aircraft navigation, *Radio Sci.*, **36(2)**, pp. 287-298, 2001.
- [3] Stanislawski, I., T. L. Gulyaeva, and R. Hanbaba, Ionospheric Despatch Centre in Europe, *Phys.Chem.Earth(C)*, **24(4)**, pp. 355-357, 1999.
- [4] Kouris, S. S., D. N. Fotiadis, and T. D. Xenos, On the day-to-day variation on foF2 and M(3000)F2, *Adv. Space Res.*, **22(6)**, pp. 873-876, 1998.