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Abstract

Automotive radars are an increasingly essential element in advanced driving assistance systems (ADAS) in order to make autonomous driving feasible. The automotive market segment is highly sensitive to price. This imposes additional constraints on the development of robust and reliable vehicular sensors. In order to ensure a high quality in testing and yet minimize the time-to-market, virtual and semi-virtual testing have gained more currency lately. It allows safe testing while including adverse conditions and enables low-cost evaluation of new experimental radar architectures. In this paper, we introduce and validate a phenomenological radar sensor simulation at millimeter wave that allows for virtual performance analysis of an automotive radar system in realistic environmental conditions. In particular, we extract effects from adverse weather conditions from field measurements and translate their characteristics to stimuli-generating models. Finally, we directly evaluate our radar simulation via state-of-the-art noise-waveform-based automotive radar with real interference signals.

1 Introduction

Advanced driving assistance systems (ADAS) are on a rise to enable automated transportation systems, reduce fatal traffic accidents, improve traffic flows and increase sustainability [1]. Autonomous ADAS functionalities go far beyond passenger comfort features, while the system complexity is increasing. Hence, the testing procedure of these functions exceeds manageable efforts. Therefore, (semi-)virtual validation and verification are required to preserve an acceptable overall system safety and reliability [2]. For example, recent initiatives such as European Initiative to Enable Validation for Highly Automated Safe and Secure Systems (ENABLE-S3) [3] propose to enable virtual testing for automated systems with reasonable efforts and time [4]. The joint simulation platform of ENABLE-S3 features a complete harvesting simulation wherein the system under test (SUT) is equipped with virtual automotive controls and sensors including the radar sensor simulation and adverse weather stimulation.

Often radar sensor simulations are implemented at target or object list level that comprises of targets’ measured distance, azimuth, Doppler velocity and reflectivity [5, 6]. This approach approximates visual perception without electro-magnetic characteristics or features from the radio-frequency (RF) front-end. However, it is simpler and less computationally demanding than, for instance ray-tracing models [7, 8] that offer high simulation accuracy. In between these two approaches, phenomenological radar modeling fills the gap in the sense that it is accurate wherever necessary and simplifies models wherever sufficient.

In this paper, we develop a phenomenological radar model that mimics the physical characteristics of real sensors such as individual antenna elements and arrays and validate it with a set of test measurements. Our goal is to determine the impact of adverse weather conditions and spectral interference on sensors as well as evaluate concepts for millimeter-wave automotive radars [9, 10]. Our simulations reflect near-field effects of unfavorable environmental conditions such as splash water on the radar and transfer the results to data-driven models for stimulation generation. In addition, we implement a pulsed-Doppler noise radar using the validated radar sensor model and compare its performance with the legacy frequency-modulated continuous-wave (FMCW) system in the specific context of spectral interference. Our results indicate convergence of simulated and real data and robust interference performance of the pulsed automotive radar systems.

2 FZI Automotive Radar Sensor Model

Typical automotive radars track a maximum range of up to 200 m traffic moving at minimum relative velocities from 10 to 80 km/h. Most of the commercial automotive radars transmit linear FMCW chirps because of its simple electronics and low-cost. Compared to the signal at high intermediate-frequencies (IF) in pulsed-Doppler radars, the digital acquisition in FMCW radar samples the low-frequency beat signal obtained from mixing the transmit and receive signals. The frequency difference between the two signals is caused by the propagation path to a reflecting object and a Doppler shift induced by moving radar platforms or objects. In case of dense traffic with multiple FMCW radars operating simultaneously, each system may experience interference unless their basebands are separated in time or frequency domains. However, both time and spectral resources are finite and alternative techniques [1] are required to mitigate vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) interference. In particular, the pulsed waveform approach that employs noise waveforms [10] acts as an individual fingerprint of each transmitter and, thereby, makes it robust
against V2V interference.

Simulation requirements and interfaces are still not standardized in automotive testing including radar, which limits the re-usability of simulators [11] and therefore requires not only the permanent development of sensor models tailored to particular applications, but also to individually interpret and translate outputs from different environment simulations. The modularity of the radar sensor model developed at Forschungszentrum Informatik (FZI), Karlsruhe allows a low-key adaptation of transmit waveforms, signal acquisition, processing and interfacing with different environment simulations. Thus leads to a flexible evaluation platform (Figure 1) that simulates backscatter signals according to multiple, physical radar sensor characteristics.

The FMCW beat signal is accessible in both, real and virtual radar systems, we recorded the beat signal for validating the sensor model as per the experimental setup shown in Figure 2. Our validation process involves simulation of a corner reflector as a point scatterer. The surrounding structures such as the columns and walls in a parking garage were neglected as can be seen from a comparison of the simulated and measured range-azimuth map in Figure 3, but which is part of ongoing further work.

Figure 1. Block diagram of FZI radar sensor simulation including signal processing and environment model. Each block represents interchangeable modules. Both transmit (TX) and receive (RX) antenna arrays and RF chains reflect physical characteristics of the original radar system. Other components are an arbitrary signal generator and virtual analog and digital processing blocks.

Figure 2. Experiment setup for validation measurements. A real radar is employed to record reflected echoes from a corner reflector. The FZI sensor model simulates the radar behavior in a virtual environment.

The radar sensor simulator (Figure 4) shows the directivity of a single patch element used in both, transmit and receive antenna arrays. The transmit antenna employs four uniform linear arrays (ULAs), spaced at $7\lambda/2$, with eight patch elements each. These are switched on sequentially and, therefore, have lower gain and diminished directivity than the simultaneously operating eight receive ULAs with inter-element spacing $\lambda/2$.

### 3 Sensor Modeling of Weather Conditions

Apart from the target characteristics, our sensor model also allows for reproducing effect of rain. Table 1 lists the visibility by various precipitation rates and specific attenuation (or range derivation of attenuation) according to [12] specifications. Here, visibility refers to the distance at which the contrast drops by 2% at wavelength 550 nm. The specific attenuation $\gamma_k$ at 77 GHz corresponds to the far-field propagation [13, 14] and depends on the rain rate $R$ as $\gamma_k = kR^\alpha$ according to the ITU recommendation [15], where the experimentally determined coefficients $k$, $\alpha$ are given for different frequencies.

At the ranges that are typical for automotive scenarios and that rarely exceed 200 m, the specific rain attenuation has only insignificant impact (see Table 1). However, adverse weather conditions introduce effects in the ultimate vicinity of the sensor. Just as the camera lenses are blurred from falling rain drops [16], a radome covered by water also experiences a blur and signal attenuation [17]. To extract meaningful and relevant features for realistic radar signal simulation, we mounted the reference radar at a fixed range from several static reflecting objects at the same elevation as the radar such as depicted in Figure 5. This highly controlled environment was designed to isolate effects that are solely due to weather conditions. Several dynamic scenarios were experimented with, for example, splash water, dry radome and repetitive application of water sprinkles Figure 6. The radome material may exhibit its own intrinsic
time-varying or constant attenuation. However, regarding the example for aggregation of peak attenuation over time during splash water in Figure 7, the type of adverse weather and environment conditions can be understood. These experimental measurements establish the base for data-driven adverse weather models. In order to model the radome attenuation, our simulator accounts for the specific rain attenuation, attenuation from constant water coverage, splash water and other attenuation from dynamic water coverage. Splash water attenuation such as in Figure 7 is modeled as $a_{sw}(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \alpha_p \cdot a_{sw}(t - \tau_p)$, where $P$ is the number of splash water incidents, $a_{sw}(t)$ is attenuation due to splash water, and $\alpha_p$ is a scaling factor that refers to the fraction of the radome surface hit by a splash after time span $\tau_p$.

4 Performance Evaluation for Interference

We also analyzed the performance of FMCW and a noise-modulated pulsed-Doppler radar using the FZI phenomenological radar sensor model. The FMCW radar receiver is unable to distinguish if the spectral components within the beat signal result from reflecting objects or interference. Hence, additional spikes occur in the range profile obtained after dechirping and 2-D FFT processing (top panel in Figure 8). On the other hand, the correlation-based receiver of the proposed noise radar eliminates the interference (bottom panel in Figure 8) because the latter is orthogonal to the coded noise.
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